

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Utilice	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,429	02/15/2002	Philip M. Green	C37780/123357	3592
7590 06/06/2005 CHARLES T. J. WEIGELL BRYAN CAVE LLP 1290 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER	
			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,,	• •		3627	
			DATE MAIL ED. 0/10/1200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/077,429	GREEN, PHILIP M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS le, cause the application to become ABAND	oe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 I	March 2005.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4)	d 23-28 is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. So the drawing (s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appli Ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

486

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Regarding the restriction requirement: Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 7-9 and 16-28, in the reply filed on 3/14/2005 is acknowledged. The applicant has not provided reasons for the traversal. The requirement has been reviewed by the Examiner and is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Regarding the election of species requirement: Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 7-9 and 16-22, in the reply filed on 3/14/2005 is acknowledged. The applicant has not provided reasons for the traversal. The requirement has been reviewed by the Examiner and is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10, 12-15, and 23-28 have been withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention or non-elected specie. Claims 3 and 11 were cancelled in a preliminary amendment.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in the last line it appears the language "Rules may added" should be changed to –Rules may be added--.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-9 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention. In the Information Disclosure Statement file 4/9/2002, the applicant indicated on page 4, last two lines, that BONY received payment "for the accounting services performed with the use of the system of the present invention inclusive of the accounting performed previously and up to that date". Because the applicant received payment for accounting services performed prior to the critical 1 year bar date of February 15, 2001, it is believed that an offer for sale had occurred prior to the critical on-sale bar date.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blasnik et al.

Blasnik et al. disclose a method for accounting for a plurality of transactions for a plurality of accounts using a plurality of accounting rules, the accounts having a cost basis associated therewith, accounting information being derived for the transactions, and the derived accounting information being posted to a ledger.

The prior art lack the specific teaching of the sequence of the method steps, however the sequence would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 3627

time of the invention, in view of Blasnik et al., in order to acquire the final accounting information.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (571) 272-6790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

F. FYNN ZEENDER PRIMARY EXAMINER

F. Zeender Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627 May 31, 2005